1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
.3	January 19, 2016 - 9:02 a.m.
4	Concord, New Hampshire NHPUC FEB12'16 PM12:45
5	RE: IR 15-517
6	LIBERTY UTILITIES (ENERGYNORTH NATURAL GAS) CORP. d/b/a LIBERTY UTILITIES - KEENE DIVISION: Investigation into
7	December 19, 2015 Operational Incident. (Status conference)
8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9	PRESENT: Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding Commissioner Robert R. Scott
10	Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey
11	Sandy Deno, Clerk
12	APPEARANCES: Reptg. Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth
13	Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities: Michael J. Sheehan, Esq.
14	Chris Brouillard, Director/Engineering Richard MacDonald, Director/Gas Operations
15	Steve Rokes, Manager - Keene Division John Shore, Public Relations Manager Michael Licata, Dir./Govt. & Comm. Relations
16	Stephen R. Hall, Dir./Rates & Regulatory
17	Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: Nicholas Cicale, Esq.
18	Office of Consumer Advocate
19	Reptg. PUC Staff:
20	Alexander F. Speidel, Esq. Randall S. Knepper, Director/Safety Division
21	Robert Wyatt, Asst. Dir./Safety Division Joe Vercellotti, Safety Division Stephen B. Frink Aget Dir /Cog & Water Div
22	Stephen P. Frink, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water Div. Iqbal Al-Azad, Gas & Water Division Yaran Maran, Chief Auditor/Audit Division
23	Karen Moran, Chief Auditor/Audit Division
24	Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52

1			
2		INDEX	
3			PAGE NO.
4	STATEMENTS BY:		
5	·	Mr. Sheehan	6
6		Mr. Knepper	16
7		Mr. Cicale	17
8			
9	QUESTIONS BY:		
10		Commissioner Scott	17
11		Chairman Honigberg	19
12			
13	,		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

PROCEEDING

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're here this morning in Docket IR 15-517 involving Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp., doing business as Liberty Utilities - Keene Division, an investigation into the December 19, 2015 operational incident. We opened this docket, directed Staff to do an investigation of what took place on December 19th, where it appears there was an equipment failure in Liberty-Keene's propane-air mixing plant that led to a supply of pure propane gas with limited amounts of air to Liberty-Keene's customers, which, in turn, resulted in incomplete combustion and generation of excess carbon monoxide at customers' gas burner equipment.

We called everybody here today for a status conference, at which the Division Staff and Liberty Keene personnel will provide a preliminary assessment and some factual information, although I don't anticipate that being done under oath, with regard to the investigation as it is available.

Just as a reminder, regarding deadlines, we anticipate that by no later than March 31st the Staff will file a report on its findings. The Company will have until April 29th to file comments or a response to that

1 report.

And, before we go any further, let's take appearances.

MR. SHEEHAN: Good morning,

Commissioners. Mike Sheehan, for Liberty Utilities. And,
I have a cast of characters with me, and I'd like to
introduce them, because I'm not sure you've met them all.

Directly to my right is Chris Brouillard, who is the

Director of Engineering at the Company, and to his right
is Richard MacDonald, the Director of Gas Operations. The
two of them will be most involved in doing our internal
investigation. And, I don't expect them to speak today,
unless there's particular questions, but they will be
those who will speak to our investigation when it's done.

And, directly behind me, and I understand it's the first time he's appeared in front of the Commissioners, is Steve Rokes. He is the Manager of the Keene operation. And, he's the one that was there from the moment it started, and is still working hard at the Keene facility making sure it's safe. To his right is Mike Licata, who you know well, and Steve Hall.

And, in the back of the room is John Shore, who is our Public Relations Manager. We were not sure who would be here, if there would be questions after

1 the hearing. So, we brought John along to help us and to 2 be advised of what goes on at the Commission. 3 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Welcome, everyone. 4 MR. SPEIDEL: Good morning, 5 Commissioners. Alexander Speidel, representing the Staff 6 of the Commission. And, we have representatives of both 7 the Gas and Water Division, behind me is Mr. Frink; and also the Audit Division, we have Chief Auditor -- and, I'm 8 terribly sorry, her name escapes me at present, Karen 9 10 Moran; we also have the Director of the Safety Division, 11 Randall Knepper; the Assistant Director of the Safety 12 Division, Robert Wyatt; Iqbal Al-Azad of the Gas and Water 13 Division; Joe Vercellotti of the Gas -- of the Safety 14 Division. 15 And, this gentleman here, could you 16 please identify yourself? 17 MR. CICALE: Certainly. I'm not with I'm with the Office of Consumer Advocate, Nicholas 18 Staff. 19 Cicale. 20 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Right. Mr. Cicale, 21 typically, you'd go after the company in entering your 22 That's why I was looking to you, and 23 Mr. Speidel grabbed the microphone and did it anyway. 24 Thank you all. thank you.

MR. SPEIDEL: I've never had the pleasure of Mr. Cicale. So, it's nice to meet him. And, that would be it.

I think Staff, in general terms, would like to make a statement, after the Company makes a statement regarding its understanding of the current status of the investigation of different matters before it regarding the Keene incident.

Certainly, Staff would welcome inquiries from the Commission regarding the possibilities for its report being prepared in advance of the 31st, or thereabouts, of March. And, also, any other questions that the Commission might have of Staff regarding its own perspective on this investigation. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Thank you, Mr. Speidel. Mr. Sheehan, are you prepared to go first and give us some indication of what happened and what your understanding is?

MR. SHEEHAN: Yes, sir. I spoke to Mr. Speidel. And, since we were probably one step ahead of Staff in the investigation, although they have been involved, we figured we could start, and then they could follow up with any gaps I may have left or comments.

With some very basics, the Keene

facility, as we know, mixes propane with air, to reduce the propane's Btu content to a level that is appropriate for the appliances of the Company's customers. The facility either uses atmospheric pressure or fans and blowers to mix the air and fuel.

As the mixture leaves the Keene facility to enter the pipeline system, there are sensors that monitor two constants: Pressure and Btu content. These sensors regulate the fuel and blower systems to automatically respond to greater or lesser customer demand for gas in order to maintain proper pressure and proper Btu levels. As demand increases, for example, the system recognizes lower pressure. This causes the blowers to work harder and the fuel system to increase fuel delivery to match the increased air coming from the blowers.

Standing in the blower room, as I had the privilege to do last week, you can actually hear the blower motors constantly changing speeds as they respond second by second to the changes in the demand. You can actually hear the motors ramping up and down constantly.

The system also works without blowers in so-called "atmospheric" air supply mode. While in atmospheric mode, there are pipe openings that allow air to be drawn into the system, much like a carburetor on a

car. As demand increases, more air is drawn into the system through these open pipes. The fuel system works in a similar fashion, as with the blowers, monitoring the outlet pressure and regulating the Btu content by injecting the right amount of fuel to maintain the proper mix.

Both systems are designed to operate without manual input. Indeed, the Keene facility is typically unstaffed at night and over weekends, as has been the case for many, many years. Although unstaffed, the sensors that measure pressure and Btu content are connected to alarms. When the system pressure falls below what is called for by the computer settings, or above, for example, an alarm sounds at Liberty's control station in Londonderry and at a third party alarm service. Control immediately contacts the on-call employee in Keene to respond to the plant.

On December 19th, 2015, about 8:51 a.m., the blowers shut down. As an aside, the blowers operate pretty consistently in the wintertime, when the demand for the fuel is much greater. We believe that the shutdown was caused by a dip in voltage resulting from the loss of power on a nearby Eversource circuit. As the Eversource system responded to the failed circuit, the adjacent

circuit serving the Keene plant experienced a momentary dip in voltage.

The blowers in the Keene facility are run by sophisticated variable speed motors. As described a minute ago, these motors constantly change speed to respond to changes in demand. These motors are very sensitive to changes in voltage. For their own protection, they are programmed to shut down when there is a sufficient drop or increase in voltage. That is what happened on the morning of December 19th.

The Keene facility does have back-up power systems. However, these generators were not called on, because it was only a power dip, not a power failure.

Normally, the Eversource-related voltage issue and resulting blower shutdown would not cause the events of December 19. The system would recognize that the blowers shut down and would transition to "atmospheric mode". At the same time, a "low pressure" alarm would sound and a technician would immediately be called to respond to the facility. The low pressure, atmospheric mode is sufficient to keep the system running until a technician can respond, restart the blowers, and restore the higher pressure.

We believe that the problem on

December 19th was that the fuel system did not receive the signal indicating that the blowers had shut down, so it did not know that it was time to switch and begin servicing the lower pressure of atmospheric mode. receiving a signal of the blower shutdown, the fuel system thought that the low pressure was caused by increased demand and responded, as it was designed, by increasing fuel input to maintain the higher pressure. system assumed that the blower would simultaneously increase air pressure. The fuel system thus increased output as it incorrectly tried to restore the higher pressure without either the air blowers on line or the system yet in atmospheric mode. As a result, and as you mentioned at the outset, the Btu content of the mix rose substantially. The fuel system simply injected more propane when the air system delivered less air.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

This high-Btu gas caused two related problems, again, as you summarized. First, customer appliances are not designed to burn such rich gas. So, some of the gas remained uncombusted and customers smelled gas. Also, the combustion did not -- that did occur was incomplete and resulted in carbon monoxide. The gas smells and carbon monoxide issues resulted in many 9-1-1 and other calls that resulted in the emergency response.

To be clear, there were no leaks on the Company's system.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The Company's response: We responded to the facility's low pressure alarm. The control room in London [Londonderry?] contacted the on-call technician, who arrived at the facility at 9:03, twelve minutes after the 8:51 alarm -- 8:51 shutdown and resulting alarm. technician called the Keene Division Manager, Mr. Rokes, at 9:04, a minute after he arrived. The two continued to speak while Steve Rokes drove to the facility, and he arrived at 9:38. They diagnosed the problem, manually placed the system in the atmospheric mode at 9:19, brought the blowers on line by 9:48, and restored normal operation by 9:59. The technician communicated with local fire personnel as well during the half hour or so before Mr. Rokes arrived.

The Keene Fire Department and other departments responded to about 90 customer calls where, for the most part, the fire department, and with the assistance of Liberty, turned off the propane service and attended to the customers, often advising them to leave the buildings. The Keene Fire Chief was in charge of the situation the whole day, as was appropriate, and Rich MacDonald was literally by his side throughout the day. Over the course of the day, Liberty and fire department

personnel went to about ten locations on the system where they purged the rich fuel mixture out of the system by venting the larger distribution pipes at safe locations until the percent gas levels were appropriate. The Company and the fire departments returned to the customers that were disconnected and restored their service. The fire departments and the Company visited every customer, all 1,250, to check CO levels and customer safety.

By about 1:15 a.m. on Sunday,

December 20, all services had been restored, and all 1,250

customers had been checked. There's an asterisk there, I

understand they did not actually get into all of the

units, because some people were not home.

Liberty's response, which included about 80 employees, included the following: First, about 35
Liberty employees responded to Keene, including the
Keene-based employees described above, service crews from both Keene and throughout the Company who assisted the fire departments with the purging and the restoration of service, employees assisting the emergency personnel, staffing the phones in Keene, and providing other logistical support. Second, almost 30 call center employees were in the Londonderry office receiving inbound calls that were transferred from Keene, and making

outbound calls to Keene customers. We called every customer on the day, on Saturday, December 19th. Finally, a group of Liberty management and engineering employees were in Londonderry and Oakville, by phone, to help coordinate and supervise the response.

Sunday, December 19 and 20, Liberty contacted the vendors of all the equipment at the Keene facility and asked that they travel to Keene on Monday, December 21, to begin the investigation. Every vendor was present and, led by Rich and Chris, the investigation began. They spent the entire day walking through all of the mechanical, electrical, and computer systems and arrived at a working theory for the cause of the incident.

On Tuesday, December 22, Safety Division Staff traveled to Keene and conducted their own review, with Liberty personnel sharing all that had happened the day before, including Liberty's tentative conclusions.

As described above, Liberty has determined that there were two likely causes of the incident. The first cause was the voltage drop outside the Keene facility that caused the blowers to shut down. The second cause was the failure of the fuel system to recognize that the blowers had shut down, that it was time

to transition to atmospheric mode. This failure was caused by an open fuse in the alarm board circuitry, which prevented the appropriate signal from reaching the server-based control system, resulting in the system remaining in blower mode instead of switching to atmospheric mode.

The follow-up: Liberty immediately reported the incident to the Safety Division staff on the morning of December 19th, and later on the 19th to PHMSA.

Liberty responded to about 40 service calls during the week of December 19th for minor issues likely related to this incident.

Liberty has manned the Keene facility 24/7 ever since the incident, and will continue to do so until we are comfortable that there will not be a repeat of the December 19th incident. We were joking before today's hearing that we receive e-mails now from Steve Rokes at 3:00 in the morning when he's pulling the overnight shift.

The steps we are evaluating to gain that comfort level include the following: In the short term, we are deciding the best ways to introduce redundancies in the control system so that one or two failures do not cause a broader problem. These may include computer

hardware changes, wiring changes, new alarm circuits, and different ways of having the system respond to voltage dips and power outages. Over the medium term, we are evaluating whether we can make more substantive changes to the equipment or system changes to the existing Keene facility to avoid a repeat of this problem. Over the longer term, we are evaluating the replacement of the propane air system with an LNG or CNG facility. This has certainly been the Company's long-term plan for Keene, and we are looking to see how we can accelerate that plan in light of the December incident.

Me say today has been provided to Staff, either informally or through the Company's responses to a number of data requests over the past month. Chris is in charge of writing the Company's investigative report, which we hope to have finished by the end of this month, and, of course, we will share that with Staff. The Company will continue to work with Staff as requested. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you,
Mr. Sheehan. Mr. Speidel, does Staff want to offer any
comments at this time?

MR. SPEIDEL: I would like to inquire as to whether Mr. Knepper would like to offer any comments?

MR. KNEPPER: I think Liberty did an excellent job in kind of summarizing what's transpired over the course of events over the last month. It's been a collaborative process. They have cooperated very fully. Our investigation will typically focus on many of the things that Mr. Sheehan alluded to. We look to see if the notification and reporting requirements have been met. We did get notified on Saturday, December 19th. Staff did go to Keene on that Saturday. And, as the Chair knows and Commissioners know, we communicate to the Commission, as well as others, of what's transpiring.

The second thing that we will probably -- that we will look at is evaluating the effectiveness of the response. Did it meet their emergency response plan? Clearly, you've heard that they had -- they brought a large amount of resources to Keene, that normally would not have been available in the past. From our observations, on that Saturday, it was done in a very coordinated and effective manner. So, we'll be commenting on those things.

And, of course, we want to look at the apparent cause or root causes of these things. And, again, Mr. Sheehan had touched on that. We will probably review the Liberty report first, before we make our

1 official report. We may just incorporate a lot of that, 2 so it's not duplicative, into our report and our 3 investigation, which is due at the end of March, I think it's March 30th. 4 5 So, that's probably what will happen. 6 And, so far, it's been -- the utility, as well as 7 ourselves, are looking to minimize the possibility of a 8 recurrence of this type of an event. And, that's how it's 9 been progressing so far. 10 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Cicale, I'd be 11 surprised if you have anything to offer at this time, but 12 this would be your chance, if you're interested? 13 MR. CICALE: You're correct, Chairman. 14 The OCA is reserving its comments for later in the 15 Thank you. process. 16 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner Scott, 17 do you have any questions for either the Company or Staff 18 at this time? 19 COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Yes, I do. Thank 20 you. 21 For the Company, Mr. Sheehan, you 22 stated, which I believe to be the case, that there was no 23 gas leak, correct? 24 MR. SHEEHAN: That's correct.

1 COMMISSIONER SCOTT: But, yet, if I 2 remember from the press coverage, I think Keene officials were stating there was gas leaks, is that correct? 3 MR. SHEEHAN: I'll defer to someone 5 else. My recollection, those are the early reports, and 6 they were no more than suspicions. But, in fact, there The smell of gas was coming from the 7 were no gas leaks. 8 unburnt fuel coming from the customers' appliances. 9 COMMISSIONER SCOTT: The reason why I 10 mention that, what I would like to see is, obviously, 11 looking at the root cause and how this can be prevented, 12 obviously, is primary importance. But I also wonder if 13 you could look at the amount of outreach or training that 14 the Company has with first responders in the area. Are they -- I guess the question is, are they familiar enough 15 with your system or should there be improvements 16 17 there also --18 MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. 19 COMMISSIONER SCOTT: -- to help 20 facilitate any kind of response in the future? 21 MR. SHEEHAN: We had a phone call with 22 Staff last week and Mr. Knepper raised that issue as well. 23 I do know there has been significant training with the 24 Keene Fire Department, in particular. But, absolutely.

1 We will look at that and see where we can do more of that. 2 And, for the record, my understanding is 3 the work between Mr. MacDonald and the Keene Chief went 4 well that day, went as smoothly as could be, and with 5 Mr. Rokes, of course. 6 So, I think, as fire departments go, 7 Keene is good on this. But, again, you're right. 8 an area that we will look at and see if there's room for 9 improvement, as well as the other towns coming nearby that 10 could respond in the future. 11 COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Thank you. That's 12 all I have. 13 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner 14 Bailey, do you have any questions? 15 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Not at this time. 16 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: If there were no 17 leaks, is this a matter for PHMSA? I know you said you 18 notified PHMSA. But, without the leak, what is PHMSA's 19 role in this at this point? 20 This is what I got to look MR. SHEEHAN: 21 at on Saturday afternoon, as everyone else was doing the 22 important work, I got to read regulations and decide 23 whether we needed to report this. 24 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I feel your pain.

MR. SHEEHAN: And, what I ran into was, certainly, the conventional wisdom was, if there's no "release", and that's the word they use, then there's no need to report. But the regulation, and I actually quoted it in my notes, this is the language from their regulation that would trigger a PHMSA report. If the event "is significant, in the judgment of an operator, even though it did not meet the criteria of Paragraphs (1) or (2) of this definition", and (1) or (2) are releases. So, looking at that language, "is it significant in the eyes of the operator", there was no way we could not report it, given what happened. So, that's the language we used and that's why we reported.

I think yesterday was our deadline to, or maybe today, to withdraw that report, and we made the decision not to. We have filed a report. And, we will follow through with it as we're supposed to.

But, anyways, that's the language that caused us to make the report, even though, even on Saturday, we knew there was not a "release", in the normal sense, of a PHMSA incident.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'm not to question the discussions you're having internally. But, if there's no -- I understand why you would make a report, given that

1 But, if you've concluded that it didn't fit 2 within either (a) or (b), why not withdraw? 3 MR. SHEEHAN: Because it fit under (3), 4 which was what I just read, it was a "significant event". 5 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, what happens 6 next with PHMSA? Does PHMSA -- PHMSA reviews your report 7 and says "It doesn't look like there was a release. 8 you for the report. We're going to put it in a file 9 someplace."? 10 MR. SHEEHAN: That very well may be the 11 They will certainly look, I assume, and the 12 experts to my left, Mr. Knepper, I suspect they will look at what did happen, and to make sure that we've dotted 13 some i's and crossed the t's. But, my quess is, it's 14 15 Mr. Knepper's office that will have the lion's share of follow-up on this event. 16 17 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I was going to turn 18 to him next. 19 Mr. Knepper, is that correct? Do you 20 have anything to add in that regard? 21 MR. KNEPPER: Yes. PHMSA is not going 22 to do anything. Although, they will hit their database, 23 because they reported it as an incident. But they'll 24 defer to the states to investigate and do -- and take care of that.

Once Liberty decided to determine it was an incident, that goes to the Notification Center. The Notification Center sends out an e-mail to the Eastern Region of PHMSA, as well as the state. They then asked us to go investigate; we were already on-scene, so, we were all aware. I immediately called the Eastern Region Director. And, as soon as he heard that there was no release, he pretty much said "Okay. Goodnight. Thank you." So, I don't believe they will do very much with it.

This is -- you know, Keene has a very unique system within the state, and certainly has a unique system within the country. So, it doesn't really fit very well into their system of reporting.

So, the one thing I would caution people to be careful of, we use the term in our rules "events", we use the term "accidents", and we use the term "incidents", and they all have specific definitions. And, so, we have to, when we write our report, we'll be very clear. Events get notified to us. And, this one certainly met a lot of the criteria in the PUC rules. Those rules are under 504.05. But it did not rise to a level of an "accident". And, then, the federal laws, because we include them and incorporate them by reference

into our state rules, does allow the Company to report anything that's significant in the eyes of the operator.

And, that's what Liberty has done.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: This may be a question, in part, for Mr. Speidel, and probably
Mr. Sheehan. But who ends up paying for the response to an event like this? Not meaning to use the word "event" in any legal sense as Mr. Knepper just used it. But, when something like this happens, who ends up paying for the Company's response and who ends up paying for the City's response, if they incurred costs as part of this?

MR. SPEIDEL: Mr. Sheehan, would you

like to begin?

MR. SHEEHAN: Sure. As has been reflected in some of the press, we are receiving bills from the towns that responded. And, there is a statute that may provide for that, that's RSA 154:8-a. We are receiving those bills. We have not decided, we're evaluating them, we're going through that process.

Separate is the Company's cost of response. There has, obviously, been a substantial cost for the Company. And, again, that's something that would come up down the road in any rate proceeding, about whether and how we would seek recovery of that. And,

those are all open questions now, as we're really just getting to that point of this event or incident.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'll note Ms. Moran is taking copious notes while you're talking on this. Mr. Speidel, you have anything, or Mr. Knepper?

MR. SPEIDEL: Yes, I do, Mr. Chairman. I think, as a general matter of regulatory philosophy, I think there should be some level of recovery within the Company's cost stream, be it as a portion of its general rate recovery or perhaps as part of its cost of gas recovery. Because, and perhaps Mr. Frink might disagree, or we could internally deliberate on this, but, at least in terms of the theory of the cost of gas, it kind of incorporates the cost of providing gas service to the customers within a given calendar year. So, it's not necessarily a recurring item, where there will be a December incident every year, but rather it's a one-off.

So, there might be some accommodation for this that's relatively expeditious and avoids rate shock and ongoing lumpiness in rates due to something that is a one-off, and not an ongoing cost of doing business that could be quantified. So, I just want to put that out there. There may be many ways to approach this question.

But, certainly, I think the Staff, the

Safety Staff and the Gas and Water Staff, and myself, we've all talked about this. We would try to avoid a situation where there's a presumption or a general rule that, whenever there is an emergency incident, and there is such a response due to technical failure on the part of local, and not so local, emergency responders, that there should be a bill proffered to the Company, and that the Company must pay, and then seek recovery for it from its Insofar as the Company's a corporate citizen ratepavers. just like anyone else, if they were a restaurant or if they were a used car dealership and there were a fire there, for instance, I don't think they would necessarily receive a bill for such services. The temptation is, on the part of local municipalities, to cost shift to a set of deep pockets, and the utility constitutes a set of deep pockets.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So, we are looking very carefully at the technical definitions of that law. And, as a general matter, we've encouraged the Company to object to the bill as it comes from the Keene authorities, at least that will preserve its rights to appeal, and to say "No, we do not care to pay for this, because this is a general emergency response. We're a corporate citizen just like everyone else, and we should not receive cost-shifting, if it is

not warranted.

This was not, in the opinion of Staff, a hazardous waste release. And, therefore, that statutory definition shouldn't apply. And, we think that the municipality should absorb those costs as part of its general budget.

If we establish a precedent that, whenever there's a technical failure, that the utility must pay, it could really expand. And, certain municipalities have shown themselves to be very eager to seek utility monies as a substitute for general revenue. So, we have to avoid that, if we can.

And, maybe Mr. Knepper could expand on that a little bit.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'm going to just remind everyone, that everyone's comments here are preliminary. So, to the extent that someone's take -- appears to be taking a position that may sound very strict or cast in stone, we're not holding anybody to the representations or theories they're advancing here today.

Mr. Knepper.

MR. KNEPPER: Yes. Another third complicating factor, and that's why I think Mr. Sheehan used the term "it's still open", is that there was some

1 language in the Settlement Agreement as to how costs are 2 done for operations, as well as those kind of things. 3 And, so, we kind of have to make sure that we go back and 4 look to see how it fits into that mechanism as well. 5 it's not a clear, simple answer yet. 6 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Just so the record 7 is clear, the "settlement" you're referring to is the 8 acquisition of the Keene system? 9 MR. KNEPPER: That's correct. 10 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'll note for the 11 record that Mr. Cicale has been taking copious notes 12 during this part of the discussion. 13 Would either side like to provide 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Would either side like to provide something on the record about how this propane-air system differs from an LNG or CNG or any other kind of natural gas system that's out there operating in the state?

MR. KNEPPER: What's different is that the end-use appliances are specific to this system. So, you can't just buy a natural gas appliance and expect it to work on a propane-air system. You can buy a regular propane appliance and expect it to work on that system. It's tailored to a specific Btu range for burning efficiently. And, so, this utility has to actually go in and customize each person's equipment, gas burning

equipment, so that it operates and functions properly. 1 2 That doesn't happen very often. That 3 doesn't happen anywhere else. A CNG would not have to do that, a natural gas utility, Liberty, does not have to do 4 5 that. And, even though Liberty injects propane-air into 6 their system, some -- on their regular natural gas system, let's say, for instance, right here in Concord --7 8 actually, --9 MR. MacDONALD: In Tilton. 10 MR. KNEPPER: Actually, Concord's not a 11 good one. Let's say Manchester or Nashua. That amount is 12 so small, you wouldn't see those differences appear at a 13 person's gas appliance typically. So, it is unique, in 14 that regard. 15 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Why not Concord? 16 MR. KNEPPER: Because they don't have a 17 propane-air facility, they only inject LNG in Concord. 18 So, it would be strictly LNG mixing with natural gas, and 19 it ends up being natural gas. 20 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, the shorthand 21 for anyone who was concerned about the safety of the 22 system in the other service territories served by Liberty, 23 this can't happen in those areas, is that right?

MR. KNEPPER:

Yes.

This is unique, yes.

24

1	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think that's all
2	I have. Are there other questions from up here?
3	[No verbal response]
4	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Anything else that
5	the parties want to offer up? I'll note, Mr. Knepper, you
6	actually have another day, an extra day than you think you
7	do. It's March 31st, according to the Order of Notice.
8	Is there anything else from Mr. Sheehan?
9	MR. SHEEHAN: Nothing further. Thank
10	you.
11	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Cicale?
12	MR. CICALE: Nothing.
13	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Speidel?
14	MR. SPEIDEL: No thank you, Mr.
15	Chairman.
16	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Thank
17	you all for your time and the information you provided
18	today. And, we will adjourn.
19	(Whereupon the status conference was
20	adjourned at 9:38 a.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	